

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/876,567	DUDLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(3) _____.

(2) Dan Schulte.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner stated his belief that he had not interpreted claim 59 correctly throughout prosecution and proposed cancelling it by examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Schulte agreed to the amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)